

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

October 31, 2008

Reply To: OEA095

MEMORANDUM DRAFT

SUBJECT: Risk Assessment Approach for Evaluating Potential Risks

From Consuming Human Milk

FROM: EPA Region 10 Risk Assessors

Risk Evaluation Unit

Office of Environmental Assessment

TO: File

#### INTRODUCTION

Risk assessors in the Risk Evaluation Unit of the Office of Environmental Assessment (OEA) are advocating that potential risks from consumption of human milk be included in risk assessments of bioaccumulating chemicals. This memorandum presents a standard approach for evaluating this exposure pathway.

EPA evaluated the feasibility of conducting a risk assessment based on exposure to human milk using EPA's *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazard Waste Combustion Facilities*<sup>1</sup> (Combustion Guidance), *Exposure Factors Handbook*<sup>2</sup>, *Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook*<sup>3</sup>, and examples from other hazardous waste sites. We determined that it is feasible to include exposure to human milk in human health risk assessments, and that this is an important exposure pathway for bioaccumulating chemicals. Beginning on \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2008, all risk assessments performed for EPA Region 10 that include one or more of the bioaccumulating chemicals shown in Table 1 should include potential risks from the breast feeding pathway.

To assist risk assessors in incorporating the human milk consumption pathway into the human health risk assessment, we prepared this memorandum to present the relevant exposure and risk equations, and exposure and toxicity parameters (summarized in Table 2). We include example calculations using total polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) Aroclors to show how the various equations in EPA's combustion guidance can be modified to focus on the fish consumption, one of the most important exposure pathways for bioaccumulating chemicals. Actual risk assessments should include the exposure pathways relevant for the site. The risk assessments should also include all relevant

chemicals, such as total PCBs (from Aroclors or congeners), 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalents (from chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, chlorinated dibenzofurans, and dioxin-like PCB congeners, evaluating each chemical class separately and collectively as the sum of all dioxin-like chemicals), and DDT and its degradation products.

We include relative risk ratios in this memorandum so that all the risk calculations for human milk ingestion do not need to be included in risk assessments. Instead, the potential risk to infants can be calculated based on the exposure to the mother, which should already be evaluated for relevant exposure pathways. This will simplify incorporation of the breast feeding pathway into the risk assessment.

Generally, risk assessments are limited to an evaluation of risk, and do not consider comparative risks or benefits. For example, eating fish is health beneficial compared with eating other protein sources. Public health agencies commonly address the health tradeoffs of eating contaminated fish, but the issue is not typically discussed in a Superfund risk assessment. For breast feeding, however, the benefits to infants are so substantial that we consider it appropriate to discuss the issue in the risk assessment. The Oregon Environmental Health Assessment Program (EHAP) has prepared a letter that presents the risks and benefits of consuming contaminated human milk. This letter has been reviewed by the Agency for Toxic Substances Control (ATSDR). We recommend that information presented in Appendix A be included with risk assessments that include the breast feeding pathway.

#### PROPOSED RISK ASSESSMENT APPROACH

#### **Exposure Assessment**

We mainly relied on the equations presented in the EPA combustion guidance document<sup>1</sup>, modified to make the equations no longer specific to dioxins or the inhalation pathway, and instead make them appropriate for fish consumption. The key concept is that the concentration of a chemical in milk can be calculated from the long-term body burden in the mother. This is consistent with the information presented in the Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (ATSDR) *Toxicological Profile for Polychlorinated Biphenyls*<sup>4</sup>.

#### **Average Daily Dose to Mother**

We start with the average daily intake of chemicals from fish consumption (modified from Table C-1-4 of the Combustion Guidance<sup>1</sup>):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ADD_{mother} \; = \; \underline{C_{fish}} \underline{x \; IR_{fish} \; x \; CF \; x \; F_{fish}} \\ BW_{af} \end{array}$$

Where:

 $ADD_{mother}$  = Average daily dose to mother (mg/kg/day)

 $C_{fish}$  = Chemical concentration in fish (assume 1 mg/kg for PCBs)

IR<sub>fish</sub> = Ingestion rate of fish (standard default rate of 17.5 g/day)

CF = Conversion factor (0.001 kg/g)  $F_{fish}$  = Fraction of fish contaminated (1)

BW<sub>af</sub> = Body weight (66 kg for average adult female)

The ingestion rate used in the example is the default rate used by EPA in developing ambient water quality criteria. The fish consumption rate is an annualized rate (*i.e.*, it includes the assumption that fish are eaten throughout the year, so exposure frequency, exposure duration, and averaging time are not included in the equation). Loss of chemicals during cooking, which has been considered at other sites, is not included in EPA's Combustion Guidance. However, cooking loss can be addressed in the uncertainty section of a risk assessment. For body weight, we consider it appropriate to use the average female weight of 66 kg, rather than the guidance value of 70 kg (average adult weight).

The above equation is appropriate for evaluating non-carcinogenic effects to the mother, and for determining exposure to the breast-feeding infant because it covers the period of time where the mother's body burden is increasing to a steady state. For an excess lifetime cancer risk calculation for the mother, the equation would be modified to incorporate an exposure duration (typically 30 years) and an average time (lifetime of 70 years). The resulting average daily dose would be reduced to 0.43 times the ADD calculated above to a dose of 0.00011 mg/kg/day.

For this example, the calculations are performed assuming a total PCB concentration of 1 mg/kg in whole-body tissue. This value is for illustration only, and to develop a relative risk ratio. An actual risk assessment should use chemical concentrations appropriate for the various species of fish sampled.

 $ADD_{mother} = 1 \text{ mg/kg x } 17.5 \text{ g/day x } 0.001 \text{ kg/g x } 1 / 66 \text{ kg} = 0.000265 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ 

#### **Chemical Concentration in Milkfat**

EPA has found that dietary intake of PCBs during pregnancy and lactation is only weakly correlated with PCB concentrations in human milk. The more important determinant is long-term consumption of PCBs. The following equation is used to calculate the PCB concentration in milk fat.

$$C_{\text{milkfat}} = \frac{\text{ADD}_{\text{mother}} \ x \ h \ x \ f_1}{\text{ln(2)} \ x \ f_2}$$

Where:

 $C_{milkfat}$  = PCB concentration in milkfat (mg/kg-lipid)  $ADD_{mother}$  = Average daily dose to mother (mg/kg/day) h = Half-life of PCB (7 years = 2555 days)  $f_1$  = Fraction of ingested PCB stored in fat (0.9)

 $f_2$  = Fraction of mother's weight that is fat (0.3 kg-lipidBW/kg-totalBW)

$$C_{milkfat} = \frac{0.000265 \text{ mg/kg-totalBW/day x } 2555 \text{ days x } 0.9}{0.693 \text{ x } 0.3 \text{ (kg-lipidBW/kg-totalBW)}}$$

= 2.9 mg/kg-lipid

The equation was modified from Table C-3-1 of the Combustion Guidance<sup>1</sup>, and is consistent with equations 1 through 3(b) in Section 3.4.4.2 of the ATSDR *Toxicological Profile*<sup>5</sup>. The equation is for steady-state conditions, and therefore we assume that maternal intake occurs over a time-period greater than the PCB half-life. We also assume that PCB concentrations in human milk reflect the maternal body burden. For a derivation of the equation for  $C_{milkfat}$ , see Appendix B.

#### **Average Daily Dose for Carcinogens**

Average daily doses to the infant are calculated separately for carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic effects. For carcinogenic effects, the average daily dose is the following (modified from Table C-3-2 of the Combustion Guidance<sup>1</sup>):

$$\begin{array}{lll} ADD_{ca\text{-}infant} \; = \; \underline{C_{milkfat} \; x \; IRM_{adj} \; x \; f_3 \; x \; f_4 \; x \; f_5 \; x \; ED_i \; x \; EF_i} \\ & AT_c \end{array}$$

Where:

ADD<sub>ca-infant</sub> = Average daily dose for breast-feeding infant (mg/kg/day) C<sub>milkfat</sub> = Concentration of chemical in milk fat (mg/kg-lipid)

IRM<sub>adj</sub> = Age-adjusted ingestion rate of human milk (125 kg milk/kg BW/day)

 $f_3$  = Fraction of human milk that is fat (0.04)

 $f_4$  = Fraction of ingested PCB that is absorbed (0.9)

f<sub>5</sub> = Average fraction of initial chemical concentration present in human

milk during one year of breast feeding (0.6)

 $ED_i$  = Exposure duration of breast-feeding infant (1 year)

EF<sub>i</sub> = Exposure frequency of breast-feeding infant (365 days/year) AT<sub>c</sub> = Averaging time – carcinogen (70 years x 365 days/year)

IRM<sub>adj</sub> was obtained from EPA's *Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook*<sup>3</sup> (EPA 2008). Table 15-1 of the guidance provides mean and upper percentile (two standard deviations above mean) dose values (ml milk per kg body weight per day) for the following age groups:

Birth to 0.25 years — mean 150 ml/kg/day, upper percentile 220 ml/kg/day 0.25 to 0.50 years — mean 140 ml/kg/day, upper percentile 190 ml/kg/day 0.50 to 0.75 years — mean 110 ml/kg/day, upper percentile 150 ml/kg/day 0.75 to 1.0 years — mean 83 ml/kg/day, upper percentile 130 ml/kg/day

Mean values are used in this evaluation. The arithmetic mean for one year of exposure is 120 ml/kg/day. Using a density of 1.03 g/ml for human milk<sup>3</sup>, the resulting average age-

adjusted ingestion rate is 125 mg/kg/day, or 0.125 kg/kg/day. This value is used for  $IRM_{adj}$ .

The fraction of concentration in human milk present over the duration of breast feeding is not included in EPA guidance. However, because this is a known occurrence that can be quantified, we consider it appropriate to include this refinement in risk assessments.

We looked at the reduction in body burden of PCBs in the mother during one year of breast feeding to develop a value for  $f_5$ . This factor is not included in EPA guidance, but we determined that it was reasonable to consider the known loss of mass during breast feeding.

EPA has determined (*from GE/Housatonic*) that there will be a 20 percent reduction of PCBs in the mother every three months. Over a year, this would correspond to a reduction to  $(1 - 0.2)^4 = 0.4$ . The PCB mass will be reduced to 40 percent of the original amount after one year. At one-half year, the reduction is to  $(1 - 0.2)^2 = 0.6$ . We decided to use this factor (60 percent of the original amount) to approximate the typical chemical mass (and concentration) over the course of one year. We therefore selected a value of 0.6 for  $f_5$ .

With all the parameter values selected, we can now calculate ADD<sub>ca-infant</sub> as:

$$\begin{array}{ll} ADD_{ca\text{-infant}} & = & \underline{2.9 \text{ mg/kg-lipid x } 0.125 \text{ kg/kg/day x } 0.04 \text{ x } 0.9 \text{ x } 0.6 \text{ x } 1 \text{ yr x } 365 \text{ day/yr} } \\ & & & & 70 \text{ yr x } 365 \text{ day/yr} \\ & & = & 0.00019 \text{ mg/kg/day} \\ \end{array}$$

#### **Average Daily Dose for Non-carcinogens**

For non-cancer effects, the average daily dose is the following (modified from Table C-3-2 of the Combustion Guidance<sup>1</sup>):

$$\begin{array}{lll} ADD_{nc\text{-}infant} \; = \; \underline{C_{milkfat} \; x \; IRM_{adj} \; \underline{x} \; \underline{f_3} \; \underline{x} \; \underline{f_4} \; \underline{x} \; \underline{f_5} \; \underline{x} \; \underline{ED_i} \; \underline{x} \; \underline{EF_i}} \\ & AT_{nc} \end{array}$$

Where:

 $ADD_{nc-infant}$  = Average daily dose for breast-feeding infant (mg/kg/day)  $C_{milkfat}$  = Concentration of chemical in milk fat (mg/kg-lipid)

 $IRM_{adj}$  = Age-adjusted human milk ingestion rate (125 kg milk/kg BW/day)

f<sub>3</sub> = Fraction of human milk that is fat (0.04 kg-lipid/kg-milk)

 $f_4$  = Fraction of ingested PCB that is absorbed (0.9)

f<sub>5</sub> = Average fraction of initial chemical concentration present in human

milk during one year of breast feeding (0.6)

 $ED_i$  = Exposure duration of breast-feeding infant (1 year)

EF<sub>i</sub> = Exposure frequency of breast-feeding infant (365 days/year)

$$AT_{nc}$$
 = Averaging time – non-carcinogen (=  $ED_i \times EF_i$ )

IRM<sub>adi</sub> was calculated above.

$$ADD_{nc-infant} = \underbrace{2.9 \text{ mg/kg-lipid x } 0.125 \text{ kg/kg/day x } 0.04 \text{ kg-lipid/kg-milk x } 0.9 \text{ x } 0.6 \text{ x } 1 \text{ yr x } 365 \text{ day/yr}}_{1 \text{ yr x } 365 \text{ day/yr}}$$

= 0.013 mg/kg/day

#### **Toxicity Assessment**

EPA's hierarchy for selecting toxicity factors is to first obtain factors from EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). The cancer slope factor presented in IRIS is 2 (mg/kg/day)<sup>-1</sup>. This value is applied to total PCBs.

The RfD for PCBs in IRIS is 2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mg/kg/day for chronic exposure (7 years to lifetime). There is no RfD for subchronic exposure in IRIS. Following EPA's hierarchy for toxicity values, the next source for a subchronic RfD is ATSDR. The ATSDR minimal risk level (MRL, comparable to an RfD) is 3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mg/kg/day for intermediate-duration (subchronic) oral exposure to PCBs. ATSDR defines intermediate-duration exposure as two weeks to one year. The intermediate-duration MRL was derived from a study on monkeys that approximated exposure during breastfeeding using a mixture of PCB congeners typically found in human milk. For this reason, it is a better indicator of toxicity than the chronic RfD (which is equal to the chronic MRL).

Table 1 provides the slope factors, chronic reference doses, and where available, subchronic reference doses for bioaccumulating chemicals.

#### **Risk Characterization**

#### **Calculated Cancer Risk to Infants**

Using the standard risk characterization equations, excess lifetime cancer risk and non-cancer hazards are calculated separately. Excess lifetime cancer risk is approximated by:

$$ELCR_{infant} = ADD_{ca-infant} \times SF_{o}$$

Where:

ELCR<sub>infant</sub> = Excess lifetime cancer risk to infant from breast feeding ADD<sub>ca-nfant</sub> = Average daily dose for breast-feeding infant (mg/kg/day)  $SF_o$  = Cancer slope factor – oral [2 (mg/kg/day)<sup>-1</sup> for total PCBs]

 $ELCR_{infant} = 0.00011 \text{ mg/kg/day x } 2 (\text{mg/kg/day})^{-1} = 2 \text{ x } 10^{-4}$ 

#### **Calculated Non-Cancer Risk to Infants**

The non-cancer hazard quotient is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HQ_{infant} & = & \underline{ADD_{infant}} \\ RfD & \end{array}$$

Where:

HQ<sub>infant</sub> = Hazard quotient for breast-feeding infant

RfD = Non-cancer reference dose  $(3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/kg/day for total PCBs})$ 

Using the intermediate-duration MRL, the calculated hazard quotient is:

$$HQ_{infant} = 0.0078 \text{ mg/kg/day} / 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/kg/day} = 260$$

#### **Comparative Risk**

For comparison, the calculated risks to the mother given the exposure assumptions are the following. For carcinogenic effects, using the long-term ADD and the oral slope factor:

$$ELCR_{mother} = 0.00012 \text{ mg/kg/day x } 2 (\text{mg/kg/day})^{-1} = 2 \text{ x } 10^{-4}$$

For noncarcinogenic effects, using ADD without factoring in exposure duration and frequency, and averaging time, and using the chronic reference dose:

$$HQ_{mother} = 0.00027 \text{ mg/kg/day} / 2 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ mg/kg/day} = 13$$

The relative ratios of risk to the infant compared with risk to the mother are the following:

Cancer Relative Risk Ratio = ELCR<sub>infant</sub> / ELCR<sub>mother</sub> = 
$$4 \times 10^{-4} / 2 \times 10^{-4} = 2$$

This evaluation shows that excess lifetime cancer risks and hazard quotients to the infant are greater than risks to the mother for PCB exposure. Although the example was performed using the fish ingestion pathway, this result is independent of the exposure pathway or dose to the mother. For PCBs, regardless of the exposure pathway to the mother or the dose, the excess lifetime cancer risk to the infant will always be 2 times the risk to the mother, and the hazard quotient to the infant will always be 30 times the hazard quotient to the mother. This assumes that the conditions used to derive the default values are met; for instance, that the dose to the mother occurs for a long enough time relative to the metabolic half life so that a steady-state condition is established. The relative risk ratios are dependent on the metabolic halflife of the compound, and the difference between the subchronic and chronic reference doses. At a half life greater than 90 days, the exposure to the infant will be greater than the exposure to the mother.

A relative risk ratio of 20 for PCBs is not unexpected. *Need to reference study that showed ratio from 10 to 100, and new study showing an average of 50......* 

Table 3 provides a summary of the relative risk ratios for infant/mother for the bioaccumulating chemicals. This provides a convenient method of including a breast-feeding pathway evaluation in risk assessments without having to perform the intermediate calculations. For any exposure pathway in a risk assessment where girls/women are exposed to bioaccumulating chemicals, the risk to infants from future breastfeeding can be calculated by multiplying the calculated excess lifetime cancer risk or hazard quotient by the factors shown in Table 3. Example calculations are shown in Table 4.

#### **Comparison of Calculated Risks with Acceptable Levels**

Using the approach presented in this memorandum, the excess lifetime cancer risk is approximately  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  for an infant consuming total PCBs in human milk for one year. This is above the cancer risk range of  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-6}$ , the target range within which the EPA strives to manage risks as part of a Superfund Cleanup.

For non-cancer effects, the calculated hazard quotient is 260. For hazard quotients above 1, unacceptable exposures may be occurring and there may be concern for potential non-cancer effects. Generally, the greater the magnitude of the hazard quotient above 1, the greater the level of concern for non-cancer health effects.

The calculated cancer risks and non-cancer hazards are based on a total PCB concentration in whole-body resident fish composites of 1 mg/kg. Although this concentration was used as a convenient value to demonstrate the calculations, it is within the range of total PCBs measured in resident fish tissue at regional Superfund sites. Because the calculated excess lifetime cancer risk and hazard quotient are considerably above acceptable levels, we conclude that infant exposure to chemicals in human milk will be an important pathway for sites with high exposure to bioaccumulating chemicals.

#### **UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION**

Following standard guidance, the risk assessment for this pathway should include an evaluation of the associated uncertainties. During our evaluation of this pathway, we considered the following.

#### **Exposure Assessment**

The only exposure to infants evaluated was consumption of human milk. We did not consider other potential exposure routes, such as transplacental transfer of PCBs from mother to fetus during pregnancy.

The equation for Cmilkfat assumes that intake to the mother has occurred for a period of time long enough relative to the half-life of the chemical that steady-state conditions are reached. For chemicals such as PCBs or CDDs/CDFs with half-lives on the order of 7

years, approximately 90 percent of steady-state concentration is reached after 21 years of exposure to the mother (3 half-lives). If the mother is exposed for only 7 years prior to breast feeding, the concentration of chemical in milkfat will be only one-half the concentration calculated for steady-state conditions, and risks calculated using the steady-state equation will be overestimated by a factor of 2.

ATSDR considers exposure of one year or more to be chronic exposure. However, EPA's Superfund program defines seven years or more as chronic exposure<sup>5</sup>.

#### **Toxicity Assessment**

The ATSDR intermediate-duration MRL was derived from a study on monkeys that approximated exposure during breastfeeding using a mixture of PCB congeners typically found in human milk. The uncertainty factors were LOAEL to NOAEL conversion (10), sensitive members (10), and interspecies extrapolation (3), for a total of 300.

The chronic PCB RfD is also based on LOAELs developed from studies on monkeys. The health effects included inflammation of glands in the eye, distorted growth of finger and toe nails, and decreased antibody responses. The uncertainty factors used in the derivation of the human health RfD total 300, applied to an animal LOAEL of 0.005 mg/kg/day.

If a chronic RfD is used instead of a subchronic RfD, another uncertainty is the application of the RfD to one year of exposure, rather than long-term (lifetime) exposure. EPA's Superfund guidance defines chronic exposure as that between seven years and a lifetime. However, in its Combustion Guidance<sup>1</sup>, EPA considered it appropriate to apply the chronic RfD to one year of exposure to human milk, at least for screening purposes. Application of the chronic RfD to one year of exposure may also be appropriate considering the potential sensitivity of infants to adverse health effects.

## **Risk Characterization**

Using the chronic RfD for PCBs instead of the intermediate duration MRL, the calculated hazard quotient is:

$$HQ_{infant} \ = \ 0.0078 \ mg/kg/day \ / \ 2 \ x \ 10^{\text{-}5} \ mg/kg/day \ = \ 390$$

This HQ is 1.5 times the subchronic HQ of 260.

#### **Body Burden Reductions**

Incorporation of body burden reduction during a year of breast feeding was included in the example evaluation of PCBs for the first infant that is breast-fed. For additional infants that are breast-fed, the mother's body burden will be reduced to about 40 percent of the body burden prior to the previous breast-fed child.

#### **Relative Exposure**

At other sites, EPA presented the potential risks from human milk consumption as a ratio to background risk rather than as an excess lifetime cancer risk or hazard quotient. The background total PCB concentration used (*for the Housatonic River site*) is 0.32 mg/kg-lipid in milk. Using the assumed total PCB concentration of 1 mg/kg in fish tissue and the assumed fish consumption rate, the calculated total PCB concentration in human milk is 2.9 mg/kg-lipid. As an alternative presentation of risk in the uncertainty section, this result can be discussed as corresponding to a risk nine times that of the background concentration.

#### Fish Advisories

EPA is aware that in some major rivers, consumption of resident fish by lactating mothers is already discouraged by fish advisories. For example, the Oregon Department of Human Services (DHS) advisory for PCBs in the Willamette River states that:

Women of childbearing age, particularly pregnant or breastfeeding women, children and people with weak immune systems, thyroid or liver problems, should avoid eating resident fish from Portland Harbor, especially carp, bass and catfish.

For this reason, there may currently be limited infant exposure to human milk contaminated as a result of consumption of resident fish in the lower Willamette River. In addition, DHS advice on preparing fish for consumption, including removing fat from fillets (rather than consuming whole-body fish), could substantially lower risks to fish consumers, and also subsequently to breast-feeding infants. However, the results presented here appear to quantitatively support the advisory, and indicate that there are potentially unacceptable risks by the breast-feeding pathway.

#### HEALTH CONSULTATION ON BREAST-FEEDING PATHWAY

EPA asked the Oregon Environmental Health Assessment Program (EHAP, formerly SHINE) to develop recommendations on how to address the potential health risks for infants exposed to PCBs in human milk in the context of the many health benefits of breast feeding. EHAP's evaluation and recommendations were reviewed by ATSDR, and are included in Appendix A.

#### **ENDNOTES**

<sup>1</sup> U. S. EPA. *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazard Waste Combustion Facilities*. (EPA 530-R-05-006, September 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S. EPA. *Exposure Factors Handbook*. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development. August 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. EPA. *Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook*. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development. EPA-600-P-00-002B, Interim Report. September 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). *Toxicological Profile for Polychlorinated Biphenyls* (Update, November 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> U.S. EPA. *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund*. Volume 1. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A). Interim Final. (EPA 540-1-89-002). December 1989.

Table 1
Half-lives and Toxicity Values for Bioaccumulating Chemicals

Chemical	Halflife	Oral	Oral	Oral Slope
	(days)	RfDsubchronic	RfDchronic	Factor
	-	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)-1
Chlordane		-	0.0005	0.35
CDDs/CDFs TEQ	2550	-	1 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	$1.3 \times 10^5$
DDD	120	-	-	0.24
DDE	120	-	-	0.34
DDT	120	-	5.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.34
Dieldrin		-	5.0 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	16
Fluoranthene		-	0.04	•
Hexachlorobenzene		-	8 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.6
Total PCB	2550	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2
PCB TEQ	2550	-	1 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	$1.3 \times 10^5$
Pentachlorophenol		-	0.03	0.12
Pyrene		-	0.03	-
Tributyltin		-	0.0003	-

**Notes:** 

**Source of halflives:** 

**Source of RfD:** 

**Source of SFo:** 

Table 2
Parameters for Evaluation of Risk from Consuming Human Milk

Page 1 of 2

Parameter	Units	Description	Value <sup>a</sup>	Source
$\mathrm{ADD}_{\mathrm{mother}}$	mg/kg/day	Average daily dose to mother	Calculated	-
ADD <sub>ca-child</sub>	mg/kg/day	Average daily dose to child (cancer)	Calculated	1
ADD <sub>nc-child</sub>	mg/kg/day	Average daily dose to child (non-cancer)	Calculated	-
$C_{\mathrm{fish}}$	mg/kg	Chemical concentration in fish	Calculated	-
			from site data.	
			Assume 1 for	
			example.	
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{fish}}$	g/day	Ingestion rate of fish	17.5 for	
			recreational	
			fishers <sup>b</sup>	
$IRM_{adj}$	kg milk/	Age-adjusted human milk	125	
	kg BW/day	ingestion rate calculated by		
		averaging the following factors:		
		Birth to $<$ 3 months =	150 ml/kg/day	
		3 months to <6 months =	140 ml/kg/day	
		6 months to <9 months =	110 ml/kg/day	
		9 months to 12 months =	83 ml/kg/day	
		Density of human milk =	1.03 g/ml	
CF	kg/g	Conversion factor	0.001	
$F_{fish}$	unitless	Fraction of fish contaminated	1	
$\mathrm{BW}_{\mathrm{af}}$	kg	Body weight of adult female	66°	
$\mathbf{BW}_{\mathrm{i}}$	Kg	Body weight of infant	Incorporated	
			into IRM	
$C_{milkfat}$	mg/kg-lipid	Concentration in milkfat	Calculated	
h	days	Half-life of chemical	Chemical-	
			specific	
$f_1$	unitless	Fraction of ingested chemical stored in fat	0.9 for PCBs	
$f_2$	unitless	Fraction of mother's weight that is fat	0.3	
$f_3$	unitless	Fraction of human milk that is fat	0.04	
f <sub>4</sub>	unitless	Fraction of ingested chemical that is absorbed	0.9	
f <sub>5</sub>	unitless	Average fraction of initial chemical concentration present in human milk during breast feeding	0.6	

Table 2
Parameters for Evaluation of Risk from Consuming Human Milk

Page 2 of 2

Parameter	Units	Description	Value <sup>a</sup>	Source
$ED_{c}$	year	Exposure duration of breast-feeding child	1	
$EF_c$	days/year	Exposure frequency of breast-feeding child	365 days/year	
AT <sub>c</sub>	days	Averaging time – carcinogen	25550 (70 years) <sup>d</sup>	
AT <sub>nc</sub>	days	Averaging time – non- carcinogen	= ED x EF	
ELCR <sub>child</sub>	risk	Excess lifetime cancer risk	Calculated	
HQ <sub>child</sub>	hazard	Hazard quotient	Calculated	
$SF_{o}$	(mg/kg/day) <sup>-1</sup>	Cancer slope factor – oral	Table 1	
RfD	(mg/kg/day)	Reference dose (chronic)	Table 1	
MRL	(mg/kg/day)	Minimal risk level (intermediate duration)	Table 1	

### Notes:

- a) Exposure assumptions taken from *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazard Waste Combustion Facilities* (EPA 530-R-05-006, September 2005), except as noted.
- b) One of the higher ingestion rates used in the Portland Harbor risk assessment.
- c) EPA combustion facilities guidance uses 70 kg (average weight of male and female adults).
- d) EPA combustion facilities guidance is to use 1 year. We considered this too conservative, and used the lifetime  $AT_c$  value typically used at Superfund sites.

Table 3
Default Ratios for Calculating Human Milk Consumption Risks Based on Risks
Calculated for Exposure to the Mother

Chemical	Ratio to Convert Chronic HQ	Ratio to Convert ELCR for	
	for Mother to Subchronic HQ for Infant	Mother to ELCR for Infant	
CDDs/CDFs	-	1	
DDT	1.4	0.05	
Total PCB	20	1	
PCB TEQ	-	1	

### Notes:

HQ = hazard quotient

ELCR = excess lifetime cancer risk

CDD = chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin

CDF = chlorinated dibenzofuran

DDT = dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

TEQ = 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity equivalent

Table 4
Example Calculation of Human Milk Consumption Risks Based on Risks
Calculated for Exposure to the Mother

	Hazard Quotient		<b>Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk</b>			
Chemical	Adult	Infant <sup>a</sup>	Adult	<b>Infant</b> <sup>a</sup>		
Soil ingestion exp	Soil ingestion exposure pathway					
Arsenic	0.13	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-		
Total PCB	0.38	7.5	7 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	7 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		
PCB TEQ	-	-	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		
CDD/CDF TEQ	-	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		
Total TEQ	-	-	6 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		
Total	0.51	7.5	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>		
Fish ingestion ex	posure pathwa	y				
Total PCB	13	260	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>		
PCB TEQ	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>		
CDD/CDF TEQ	-	-	8 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>		
Total TEQ	-	-	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>		
Total	13	260	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>		
Total for all exposure pathways						
Total	14	268	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>		

#### Notes

Calculated using infant/mother risk ratios shown in Table 3.

# Appendix A

## Letter from Oregon Environmental Health Assessment Program Regarding Health Benefits of Breast Feeding

## Appendix B

## **Derivation of Equation for Chemical Concentrations in Milkfat**

The EPA combustion facility guidance document<sup>1</sup> and ATSDR's Toxicological Profile<sup>2</sup> do not elaborate on the derivation of the equation for calculation of chemicals present in milkfat. The main EPA reference for the equation is from an evaluation of infant exposure to chlorinated dibenzodioxins and chlorinated dibenzofurans in human milk<sup>3</sup>. In this attachment, we explicitly derive the steady-state equation used to approximate chemical concentrations in maternal body fat, which is assumed to be equivalent to the concentration in human milk.

The chemical body burden in the mother is calculated assuming first-order kinetics:

$$B_t = B_0 e^{-kt}$$

Where:

t =Time period (years)

 $B_t = \text{Body burden at time } t \text{ (mg)}$ 

 $B_0 = \text{Body burden at time } t = 0 \text{ (mg)}$ 

 $k = \text{Rate constant} = \ln(2) / h \text{ (days}^{-1})$ 

h = Half life of chemical in body (days)

Using a similar approach, the maternal daily chemical intake, m (mg/kg/day), is used to calculate the concentration of chemical in the mother's tissue. The contribution to maternal chemical levels ( $C_{mother}$  in mg/kg-body-weight) is:

$$C_{\text{mother}} = \int_{0}^{T} me^{-kt} dt$$

where the mother is exposed to chemicals in fish from time t = 0 to time t = T (in days). The general solution to this equation is:

$$\int_{0}^{T} me^{-kt} dt = \frac{me^{-kT}}{-k} - \frac{me^{0}}{-k} = \frac{me^{-[\ln(2)/h]T}}{-k} - \frac{m}{-k} = \frac{me^{-[\ln(2)][T/h]}}{-k} + \frac{m}{k}$$
$$= \frac{m (0.5)^{T/h}}{-k} + \frac{m}{k} = [1 - (0.5)^{T/h}] \frac{m}{k}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U. S. EPA. *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazard Waste Combustion Facilities*. EPA 530-R-05-006, September 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ATSDR. *Toxicological Profile for Polychlorinated Biphenyls*. November 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Allan H. Smith. Infant Exposure Assessment for Breast Milk Dioxins and Furans Derived from Waste Incineration Emissions. *Risk Analysis*, Vol. 7, No. 3. 1987.

Substituting again for  $k = \ln(2) / h$ ,

$$C_{\text{mother}} = [1 - (0.5)^{T/h}] \frac{mh}{\ln(2)}$$

If the exposure period of the mother to contaminated fish (T) is equal to the chemical half-life (h) of 7 years for PCBs, then the chemical concentration in the mother's tissue is:

$$C_{\text{mother}} = 0.5 \frac{mh}{\ln(2)}$$

If the exposure period of the mother to contaminated fish is equal to four half-lives (T = 4h = 28 years), then the chemical concentration in the mother's tissue is:

$$C_{\text{mother}} = 0.94 \frac{mh}{\ln(2)}$$

The limit of  $[1 - (0.5)^{T/h}]$  for large values of T (relative to the half-life h) is 1. Therefore, at exposure periods to the mother longer than the chemical half-life, a reasonably conservative assumption is that the chemical concentration in the mother can be approximated by:

$$C_{\text{mother}} = \frac{mh}{\ln(2)}$$

This equation is further refined by considering the fraction of the chemical stored in fat tissue  $(f_1)$  and the fraction of the mother's weight that is fat  $(f_2)$ .

$$C_{\text{mother}} = \frac{mh}{\ln(2)} \frac{f_1}{f_2}$$

Substituting the symbol ADD<sub>mother</sub> for m, and assuming that the chemical concentration in milkfat is equivalent to the chemical concentration in the mother's lipid tissue, yields the equation for  $C_{milkfat}$  shown in the main text.

$$C_{\text{milkfat}} = \frac{ADD_{mother} h}{\ln(2)} \frac{f_1}{f_2}$$